

Making the evidence based policy in the ERA „more scientometrico“ (?)

Technology Centre
of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic
Vladimír Albrecht



TECH
CEN

Why FP impact assessment?

T

Programme preparation: ex-ante evaluation and ex-ante impact assessment, proposing structure of the FP6 budget (EC, EP, Council of Europe), however: ex-ante at national level?

I

Programme implementation: monitoring, interim evaluation and identification of the complex evolution of the programme, formative and summative evaluation (distribution of the available budget is not easy but it can't be the goal), development of relevant indicators...


M

E

Post programme period: ex-post evaluation and impact assessment, how the programme influenced the R&D landscape, projects achievements, analysis of project results (lack of „hard data“, questionnaires - weak data), bibliometric approaches, econometric analyses of competitiveness changes... **Meta-evaluation...**


FP needs to be identified!

Vision of the FP6 by absolute values of summary eligible cost and/or requested contribution




FP needs to be identified!

Vission of the FP6 by absolute and relative Nr. of participations




FP needs to be identified!

Vision of the FP6 by requested contributions related to
GERD



Dynamics of the FP summary budget of CZ teams and requested EC contribution compared with the budget of the Czech Science Foundation



CZ activities

- Summative evaluation of the CZ participation in the FP6 under preparation
- Campaign: questionnaire distributed to all FP6 CZ participants (50 questions, return rate approx. 25%)
- Bibliometric analysis of the CZ participation in the FP6 (based on approx. 700 articles registered in the Web of Science)
- CZ EU presidency event: **EU**ropean **FO**rum on **R**esearch and **D**evelopment **I**mpact **A**ssessment

www.EUFORDIA2009.eu